

# A DIRECT PROOF OF THE THEOREM ON FORMAL FUNCTIONS

FERNANDO SANCHO DE SALAS AND PEDRO SANCHO DE SALAS

**ABSTRACT.** We give a direct and elementary proof of the theorem on formal functions by studying the behaviour of the Godement resolution of a sheaf of modules under completion.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $\pi: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A$  be a proper scheme over a ring  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $Y \subset \operatorname{Spec} A$  a closed subscheme. Let us denote by  $^{\wedge}$  the completion along  $Y$  (respectively, along  $\pi^{-1}(Y)$ ). The theorem on formal functions states that

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{M})^{\wedge} = H^i(X, \hat{\mathcal{M}})$$

Two important corollaries of this theorem are Stein's factorization theorem and Zariski's Main Theorem ([H] III, 11.4, 11.5).

Hartshorne [H] gives a proof of the theorem on formal functions for projective schemes (over a ring). Grothendieck [G] proves it for proper schemes. He first gives sufficient conditions for the commutation of the cohomology of complexes of  $A$ -modules with inverse limits (0, 13.2.3 [G]); secondly, he gives a general theorem on the commutation of the cohomology of sheaves with inverse limits (0, 13.3.1 [G]); finally, he laboriously checks that the theorem on formal functions is under the hypothesis of this general one (4.1.5 [G]).

In this paper we give the "obvious direct proof" of the theorem on formal functions. Very briefly, we prove that the completion of the Godement resolution of a coherent sheaf is a flasque resolution of the completion of the coherent sheaf and that taking sections in the Godement complex commutes with completion.

## 1. THEOREM ON FORMAL FUNCTIONS

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be a scheme,  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  a sheaf of ideals and  $\mathcal{M}$  an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. The  $\mathfrak{p}$ -adic completion of  $\mathcal{M}$ , denoted by  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$ , is

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}} := \varprojlim_n \mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M}$$

If  $U = \operatorname{Spec} A$  is an affine open subset and  $I = \mathfrak{p}(U)$ , one has a natural morphism

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_A A/I^n \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M})$$

---

*Date:* February 2, 2008.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14A15; Secondary 14F99.

*Key words and phrases.* Formal functions, completion, cohomology.

The first author was supported by research projects MTM2006-04779 (MEC) and SA001A07 (JCYL).

and then a morphism

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})^\wedge \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \widehat{\mathcal{M}})$$

where  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})^\wedge$  is the  $I$ -adic completion of  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})$ .

**Definition 2.** We say that  $\mathcal{M}$  is affinely  $\mathfrak{p}$ -acyclic if for any affine open subset  $U$  and any natural number  $n$ , the sheaves  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M}$  are acyclic on  $U$  and the morphism  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_A A/I^n \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M})$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})^\wedge \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \widehat{\mathcal{M}})$  is an isomorphism.

Every quasi-coherent module is affinely  $\mathfrak{p}$ -acyclic.

*Notations:* For any sheaf  $F$ , let us denote

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow C^0 F \rightarrow C^1 F \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C^n F \rightarrow \dots$$

its Godement resolution. We shall denote  $C^\bullet F = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} C^i F$  and  $F_i = \text{Ker}(C^i F \rightarrow C^{i+1} F)$ . One has that  $C^0 F_i = C^i F$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $X$  be a scheme,  $\mathfrak{p}$  a coherent ideal and  $\mathcal{M}$  an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Denote  $I = \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{p})$  and assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is generated by a finite number of global sections (this holds for example when  $X$  is affine). For any open subset  $V \subseteq X$  one has

$$\Gamma(V, C^0(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})) = I \cdot \Gamma(V, C^0 \mathcal{M})$$

In particular, the natural morphism  $\mathfrak{p}C^0 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow C^0(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* If  $J$  is a finitely generated ideal of a ring  $A$  and  $M_i$  is a collection of  $A$ -modules, then  $J \cdot \prod M_i = \prod (J \cdot M_i)$ . Now, by hypothesis  $\mathfrak{p}$  is generated by a finite number of global sections  $f_1, \dots, f_r$ . Let  $J = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ . Then

$$\Gamma(V, C^0(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})) = \prod_{x \in V} \mathfrak{p}_x \cdot \mathcal{M}_x = \prod_{x \in V} J \cdot \mathcal{M}_x = J \cdot \prod_{x \in V} \mathcal{M}_x = J \cdot \Gamma(V, C^0 \mathcal{M})$$

Since  $I \cdot \prod_{x \in V} \mathcal{M}_x$  is contained in  $\Gamma(V, C^0(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M}))$  one concludes. In particular, if  $V$  is affine, then  $\Gamma(V, C^0(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})) = I_V \cdot \Gamma(V, C^0 \mathcal{M})$ , with  $I_V = \Gamma(V, \mathfrak{p})$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{p}C^0 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow C^0(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** Let  $X$  be a scheme and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a coherent ideal. For any  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  one has:

- (1)  $\mathfrak{p}C^i \mathcal{M} = C^i(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})$  and  $(C^i \mathcal{M})/\mathfrak{p}(C^i \mathcal{M}) = C^i(\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})$ , for any  $i$ .
- (2)  $C^0 \mathcal{M}$  is affinely  $\mathfrak{p}$ -acyclic.
- (3)  $\widehat{C^0 \mathcal{M}}$  is flasque. Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is generated by a finite number of global sections, then

$$\Gamma(X, \widehat{C^0 \mathcal{M}}) = \Gamma(X, C^0 \mathcal{M})^\wedge$$

*Proof.* 1. We may assume that  $X$  is affine. Hence  $\mathfrak{p}C^0 \mathcal{M} = C^0(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})$  by the previous lemma and  $(C^0 \mathcal{M})/\mathfrak{p}C^0 \mathcal{M} = C^0 \mathcal{M}/C^0(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M}) = C^0(\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})$ . From the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M} \rightarrow C^0 \mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}C^0 \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow 0$$

and the isomorphism  $C^0 \mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}C^0 \mathcal{M} = C^0(\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})$  it follows that  $\mathcal{M}_1/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M}_1 = (\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M}_1 = (\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})_1$ . Consequently  $\mathfrak{p}C^1 \mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{p}C^0(\mathcal{M}_1) = C^0(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M}_1) = C^0((\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})_1) = C^1(\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})$ , and analogously  $C^1 \mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}C^1 \mathcal{M} = C^1(\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{M})$ . Repeating this argument one concludes 1.

2. Denote  $\mathcal{N} = C^0\mathcal{M}$ . By (1),  $\mathcal{N}/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{N}$  is acyclic on any open subset. From the long exact sequence of cohomology associated to  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$  and the acyclicity of  $\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{N}$  (by (1)) one obtains that

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{N}/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{N}) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{N})/\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{N}).$$

Moreover, if  $U$  is affine  $\Gamma(U, \mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{p}^n(U)\Gamma(U, \mathcal{N})$ , by Lemma 3. We have concluded.

3. Let us prove that  $\mathcal{N} = \widehat{C^0\mathcal{M}}$  is flasque. It suffices to prove that its restriction to any affine open subset is flasque, so we may assume that  $X$  is affine. Let us denote  $I = \mathfrak{p}(X)$ . For any open subset  $V$ , one has as in the proof of (2)

$$\Gamma(V, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}) = \varprojlim_n \Gamma(V, \mathcal{N}/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{N}) = \varprojlim_n \Gamma(V, \mathcal{N})/\Gamma(V, \mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{N})$$

and by Lemma 3,  $\Gamma(V, \mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{N}) = I^n\Gamma(V, \mathcal{N})$ . In conclusion,  $\Gamma(V, \widehat{\mathcal{N}}) = \Gamma(V, \mathcal{N})^\wedge$ . One concludes that  $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}$  is flasque because  $\mathcal{N}$  is flasque and the  $I$ -adic completion preserves surjections. The same arguments prove the second part of the statement.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** *If  $\mathcal{M}$  is affinely  $\mathfrak{p}$ -acyclic, then  $\widehat{C^0\mathcal{M}}$  is a flasque resolution of  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ .*

*Proof.* We already know that  $\widehat{C^0\mathcal{M}}$  is flasque. Let us prove now that  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is affinely  $\mathfrak{p}$ -acyclic. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{M} \rightarrow C^0(\mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow 0$$

one has that  $\mathcal{M}_1/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{M}_1$  is acyclic on any affine open subset. Moreover, taking sections on an affine open subset  $U = \text{Spec } A$ , one obtains the exact sequence (let us denote  $I = \mathfrak{p}(U)$ )

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_A A/I^n \rightarrow \Gamma(U, C^0\mathcal{M}) \otimes_A A/I^n \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}_1/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{M}_1) \rightarrow 0$$

and then  $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}_1) \otimes_A A/I^n = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}_1/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{M}_1)$ , i. e.  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is affinely  $\mathfrak{p}$ -acyclic.

Now, taking inverse limit in the above exact sequence (and taking into account that the  $I$ -adic completion preserves surjections) one obtains the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \widehat{\mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \widehat{C^0\mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_1) \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \widehat{C^0\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_1 \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Conclusion follows easily.  $\square$

*Remark 6.* In the proof of the preceding proposition it has been proved that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is affinely  $\mathfrak{p}$ -acyclic, then  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$  is acyclic on any affine subset.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $A$  be a noetherian ring and  $I \subseteq A$  an ideal. If  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of  $A$ -modules and  $N$  is finitely generated, then the  $I$ -adic completion  $0 \rightarrow \widehat{M}' \rightarrow \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{N} \rightarrow 0$  is exact.*

*Proof.* Let  $L \subseteq M$  be a finite submodule surjecting on  $N$  and  $L' = L \cap M'$  which is also finite because  $A$  is noetherian. The exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/L \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M'/L' \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

remain exact after  $I$ -adic completion, because  $L$  and  $L'$  are finite (this is a consequence of Artin-Rees lemma (10.10 [A])). Since  $M/L \simeq M'/L'$  one concludes.  $\square$

**Theorem 8** (on formal functions). *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of locally noetherian schemes,  $\mathfrak{p}$  a coherent sheaf of ideals on  $Y$  and  $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_X$  the ideal induced in  $X$ . For any coherent module  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $X$ , the natural morphisms (where completions are made by  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_X$  respectively)*

$$\widehat{R^i f_* \mathcal{M}} \rightarrow R^i f_* (\widehat{\mathcal{M}})$$

*are isomorphisms. If  $Y = \text{Spec } A$ , then*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{M})^\wedge = H^i(X, \widehat{\mathcal{M}})$$

*Proof.* The question is local on  $Y$ , so we may assume that  $Y = \text{Spec } A$  is affine. It suffices to show that  $\widehat{H^i(X, \mathcal{M})} = H^i(X, \widehat{\mathcal{M}})$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_X$  is generated by its global sections. As usual, we denote  $I = \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_X)$ .

Let  $C^\bullet \mathcal{M}$  be the Godement resolution of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\widehat{C^\bullet \mathcal{M}}$  is a flasque resolution of  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$  (by Proposition 5) and  $\Gamma(X, \widehat{C^\bullet \mathcal{M}}) = \Gamma(X, C^\bullet \mathcal{M})^\wedge$  (by Proposition 4, (3)). Then we have to prove that the natural map

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{M})^\wedge = [H^i \Gamma(X, C^\bullet \mathcal{M})]^\wedge \rightarrow H^i(\Gamma(X, C^\bullet \mathcal{M})^\wedge) = H^i(\Gamma(X, \widehat{C^\bullet \mathcal{M}})) = H^i(X, \widehat{\mathcal{M}})$$

is an isomorphism. Let us denote by  $d_i$  the differential of the complex  $\Gamma(X, C^\bullet \mathcal{M})$  on degree  $i$ . Completing the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_i \rightarrow \Gamma(X, C^i \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Im } d_i \rightarrow 0$$

we obtain the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Ker } d_i} \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \widehat{C^i \mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Im } d_i} \rightarrow 0$$

because, as we shall see below, the  $I$ -adic topology of  $\Gamma(X, C^i \mathcal{M})$  induces in  $\text{Ker } d_i$  the  $I$ -adic topology. Hence

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{M})^\wedge = (\text{Ker } d_i / \text{Im } d_{i-1})^\wedge \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 7}} \widehat{\text{Ker } d_i / \text{Im } d_{i-1}} = H^i(X, \widehat{\mathcal{M}})$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}_i$  be the kernel of  $C^i \mathcal{M} \rightarrow C^{i+1} \mathcal{M}$  (recall that  $C^i \mathcal{M} = C^0 \mathcal{M}_i$ ). Let us prove that the  $I$ -adic topology of  $\Gamma(X, C^i \mathcal{M})$  induces the  $I$ -adic topology on  $\text{Ker } d_i = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}_i)$ . Intersecting the equality  $I^n \Gamma(X, C^0 \mathcal{M}_i) = \Gamma(X, C^0(\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M}_i))$  with  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}_i)$ , one obtains that the induced topology on  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{M}_i)$  is given by the filtration  $\{\Gamma(X, \mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M}_i)\}$ . Hence it suffices to show that this filtration is  $I$ -stable. Since  $\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M}_i = (\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M})_i$  (see the proof of 4.1.), it is enough to prove that the filtration  $\{\Gamma(X, (\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M})_i)\}$  is  $I$ -stable; this is equivalent to show that  $\bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \Gamma(X, (\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M})_i)$  is a  $D_I A$ -module generated by a finite number of homogeneous components, where  $D_I A = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty I^n$ . By the exact sequence

$$\bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \Gamma(X, C^{i-1}(\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M})) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \Gamma(X, (\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M})_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty H^i(X, \mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow 0$$

it suffices to see the statement for the first and the third members. For the first one is obvious because  $\Gamma(X, C^{i-1}(\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M})) = I^n \Gamma(X, C^{i-1} \mathcal{M})$ . For the third one, it suffices to see that it is a finite  $D_I A$ -module. Let  $X' = X \times_A D_I A$ ,  $\pi: X' \rightarrow X$  the natural projection and  $\mathcal{M}' = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M}$  the obvious  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module. Since  $H^i(X', \mathcal{M}')$  is a finite  $D_I A$ -module, one concludes from the equalities  $H^i(X', \mathcal{M}') = H^i(X, \pi_* \mathcal{M}') = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty H^i(X, \mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M})$ , because  $\pi_* \mathcal{M}' = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 9.* Reading carefully the above proof, it is not difficult to see that one has already showed that  $H^i(X, \mathcal{M})^\wedge = \varprojlim_n H^i(X, \mathcal{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \mathcal{M})$ .

## REFERENCES

- [A] ATIYAH, M.F. AND MACDONALD I.G., *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1969.
- [G] GROTHENDIECK, A. AND DIEUDONNÉ, J., *EGA III*, Publ. Math. IHES, 1961.
- [H] HARTSHORNE, R., *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **52**, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE SALAMANCA, PLAZA DE LA MERCED 1-4,  
37008 SALAMANCA, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `fsancho@usal.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE EXTREMADURA, AVENIDA DE ELVAS S/N,  
06071 BADAJOZ, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `sancho@unex.es`